

# SQEMA with Universal Modality

Dimitar Georgiev  
dimitertg@yahoo.com

Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Supported by the Science Fund of the Sofia University  
and the BSF, programme Rila 2014.

10<sup>th</sup> Panhellenic Logic Symposium, June 13<sup>th</sup>, 2015

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Correspondence Problem
- 3 The Algorithm SQEMA
- 4 The Algorithm SQEMA+U
- 5 Conclusion

# Introduction

A *Kripke frame* is an ordered pair of the kind  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , where  $W$  is a non-empty set, and  $R \subseteq W \times W$  is a binary relation over  $W$ .

On one hand, Kripke frames are structures for modal formulas, but on the other hand, they are structures for a first-order language with a single predicate symbol  $R$ .

Johan van Benthem posed the question: is there a formula of this first-order language, which is valid exactly in those Kripke frames, which validate a given modal formula? And if it exists, how can it be found?

# Introduction

A *Kripke frame* is an ordered pair of the kind  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , where  $W$  is a non-empty set, and  $R \subseteq W \times W$  is a binary relation over  $W$ . On one hand, Kripke frames are structures for modal formulas, but on the other hand, they are structures for a first-order language with a single predicate symbol  $R$ .

Johan van Benthem posed the question: is there a formula of this first-order language, which is valid exactly in those Kripke frames, which validate a given modal formula? And if it exists, how can it be found?

# Introduction

A *Kripke frame* is an ordered pair of the kind  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , where  $W$  is a non-empty set, and  $R \subseteq W \times W$  is a binary relation over  $W$ . On one hand, Kripke frames are structures for modal formulas, but on the other hand, they are structures for a first-order language with a single predicate symbol  $R$ .

Johan van Benthem posed the question: is there a formula of this first-order language, which is valid exactly in those Kripke frames, which validate a given modal formula? And if it exists, how can it be found?

# The Correspondence Problem

Given a modal formula  $\phi$ , decide if there is a first-order formula  $\psi$  of a language with a single binary predicate symbol  $R$ , such that for every Kripke frame  $F$ :  $F \Vdash \phi$  iff  $F \models \psi$ .

The problem was answered in Lidia Chagrova's theorem:

Theorem (L. A. Chagrova)

*This problem is not algorithmically solvable.*

# The Correspondence Problem

Given a modal formula  $\phi$ , decide if there is a first-order formula  $\psi$  of a language with a single binary predicate symbol  $R$ , such that for every Kripke frame  $F$ :  $F \Vdash \phi$  iff  $F \models \psi$ .

The problem was answered in Lidia Chagrova's theorem:

**Theorem (L. A. Chagrova)**

*This problem is not algorithmically solvable.*

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)



## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

## The Correspondence Problem, Interesting Cases

Henrik Sahlqvist found a class of modal formulas, for which the problem has a solution. He defined the Sahlqvist class of formulas. This led to van Benthem's question.

There are interesting cases, for which there are algorithms for finding the correspondent first-order formulas:

- The Sahlqvist-van-Benthem algorithm for Sahlqvist formulas
- SCAN (D. M. Gabbay, H. Ohlbach)
- DLS (A. Szalas)
- A method using a modal lemma by Ackermann (D. Vakarelov)
- SQEMA (D. Vakarelov, V. Goranko, W. Conradie)

# The Algorithm SQEMA

Dimiter Vakarelov, later Valentin Goranko and Willem Conradie, describe an algorithm which takes a modal formula as input, not always gives a result, but when it gives a result, then the result is a predicate formula, which corresponds to the input modal formula.

If the algorithm gives a result for the modal formula  $\phi$ , then the normal modal logic  $K + \phi$  is complete, and the formula  $\phi$  is canonical.

The algorithm always gives results for Sahlqvist formulas.

# The Algorithm SQEMA

Dimiter Vakarelov, later Valentin Goranko and Willem Conradie, describe an algorithm which takes a modal formula as input, not always gives a result, but when it gives a result, then the result is a predicate formula, which corresponds to the input modal formula. If the algorithm gives a result for the modal formula  $\phi$ , then the normal modal logic  $K + \phi$  is complete, and the formula  $\phi$  is canonical.

The algorithm always gives results for Sahlqvist formulas.



# The Algorithm SQEMA

Dimiter Vakarelov, later Valentin Goranko and Willem Conradie, describe an algorithm which takes a modal formula as input, not always gives a result, but when it gives a result, then the result is a predicate formula, which corresponds to the input modal formula. If the algorithm gives a result for the modal formula  $\phi$ , then the normal modal logic  $K + \phi$  is complete, and the formula  $\phi$  is canonical.

The algorithm always gives results for Sahlqvist formulas.

# The Modal Language with the Universal Modality

We consider the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ .

If  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is a model over a Kripke frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , and  $w \in W$ , then:

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \Box\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : wRv \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \phi$

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash [U]\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \phi$

# The Modal Language with the Universal Modality

We consider the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ .

If  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is a model over a Kripke frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , and  $w \in W$ , then:

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \Box\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : wRv \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash [U]\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

# The Modal Language with the Universal Modality

We consider the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ .

If  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is a model over a Kripke frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , and  $w \in W$ , then:

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \Box\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : wRv \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash [U]\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

# The Modal Language with the Universal Modality

We consider the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ .

If  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is a model over a Kripke frame  $\langle W, R \rangle$ , and  $w \in W$ , then:

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \Box\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : wRv \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

$\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash [U]\phi$  iff  $\forall v \in W : \mathcal{M}, v \Vdash \phi$

## The Correspondence Problem for $M(\Box, [U])$

For the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ , the correspondence problem is still algorithmically unsolvable.

We propose an extension of the SQEMA algorithm for formulas  $\phi \in M(\Box, [U])$ , SQEMA+U, for which it was proven that, if successful for  $\phi$ , then:

- The result is a predicate formula, correspondent to  $\phi$
- The minimal normal modal logic  $K_u + \phi$  is complete

## The Correspondence Problem for $M(\Box, [U])$

For the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ , the correspondence problem is still algorithmically unsolvable.

We propose an extension of the SQEMA algorithm for formulas  $\phi \in M(\Box, [U])$ , SQEMA+U, for which it was proven that, if successful for  $\phi$ , then:

- The result is a predicate formula, correspondent to  $\phi$
- The minimal normal modal logic  $K_u + \phi$  is complete

## The Correspondence Problem for $M(\Box, [U])$

For the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ , the correspondence problem is still algorithmically unsolvable.

We propose an extension of the SQEMA algorithm for formulas  $\phi \in M(\Box, [U])$ , SQEMA+U, for which it was proven that, if successful for  $\phi$ , then:

- The result is a predicate formula, correspondent to  $\phi$
- The minimal normal modal logic  $K_u + \phi$  is complete



## The Correspondence Problem for $M(\Box, [U])$

For the basic modal language extended with the universal modality,  $M(\Box, [U])$ , the correspondence problem is still algorithmically unsolvable.

We propose an extension of the SQEMA algorithm for formulas  $\phi \in M(\Box, [U])$ , SQEMA+U, for which it was proven that, if successful for  $\phi$ , then:

- The result is a predicate formula, correspondent to  $\phi$
- The minimal normal modal logic  $K_u + \phi$  is complete

# Demonstration

Demonstration

## Future work

- Prove that SQEMA+U succeeds for the Sahlqvist formulas of  $M(\Box, [U])$ .
- Extend the algorithm and the proven results to the temporal modal language with  $[U]$  and nominals.
- Implement SQEMA for modal logics with polyadic modal operators.

## Future work

- Prove that SQEMA+U succeeds for the Sahlqvist formulas of  $M(\Box, [U])$ .
- Extend the algorithm and the proven results to the temporal modal language with  $[U]$  and nominals.
- Implement SQEMA for modal logics with polyadic modal operators.

## Future work

- Prove that SQEMA+U succeeds for the Sahlqvist formulas of  $M(\Box, [U])$ .
- Extend the algorithm and the proven results to the temporal modal language with  $[U]$  and nominals.
- Implement SQEMA for modal logics with polyadic modal operators.

Thank you for your time!

Thank you for your time!